

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | F                     | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/629,172                              | 10/629,172 07/29/2003 |             | Graeme R. Mann       | 50335US012             | 7170            |
| 32692                                   | 7590                  | 09/10/2004  |                      | EXAM                   | INER            |
| 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY        |                       |             |                      | ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A |                 |
| PO BOX 33427<br>ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 |                       |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER           |                 |
| ,                                       | · <b></b>             |             |                      | 2877                   |                 |

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | 1/- |
|---|-----|
| , | Х   |

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments  | 10/629,172   | MANN, GRAEME R.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Richard A Rosenberger  | 2877   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE                                       | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.   | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) <u>1-4,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-23 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>   | r election requirement   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| are subject to restriction unarely  | oloolion roquiromoni.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | ite  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04; 5/24/04.  | 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:  | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/629,172

Art Unit: 2877

1. Claims 5-23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot refer back to another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Page 2

2. Claims 1-4, 24 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over what is claimed in U.S. Patent No. 6,019,287. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are clearly direct to the same invention.

Claim 1 in this application differs from claim 1 of the patent by requiring the light source means directs, and light receiving device receives, the lights at different times ("a first time" and "a second time"). Claim 6 of the patent, dependent upon claim 1, claims that the two light sources :are switchable so as to operate alternately or simultaneously". As claim 6 includes "alternately", then it clearly includes, and is intended to include, different times is claimed in claim 1 in this application.

Claim 2 in this application differs from claim 1 of the patent in that claim 1 herein requires that the lights be of different "wavelength or polarity" ("a first predetermined wavelength or polarity" and "a second predetermined wavelength or polarity"). Claim 8 of the patent, dependent upon claim 1, sets forth that the light

sources "produce light at different bandwidths, or different wavelengths, or both".

As claims 8 includes "different wavelengths", then it clearly includes, and is intended to include, different wavelengths as claimed in claim 2 of this application.

Claims 3 and 4 of this application correspond to the subject matter of claims 2 and 3 or the patent.

Method claims 24 and 25 are methods corresponding to the apparatus of claims 1 and 2 of the application. The patent includes method claims (claims 22 and 23), both somewhat broader than the instant claims. The presence of claims 1,6 and 8 as discussed above show that the subject matter was included in, and was intended to be included in, the method claims of the patent, and the method claims of the instant application are thus obvious over the method claims or the patent.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2877

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard A Rosenberger whose telephone number is (571) 272-2428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during the hours of 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. A. Rosenberger 3 September 2004

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner